

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/032,287	12/21/2001	Tadashi Tsuyuki	9319S-000308	4058	
27572	7590 03/16/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
HARNESS,	HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 03/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<i>(</i> 5^\				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/032,287	TSUYUKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	· 				
	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	s				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .						
•	b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition f							
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 3,5,6,13,17-27 and 32-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3,5,6,13,17-27, and 32-44 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	a) accepted or b) objected to tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeya the correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action or form P1O-1	52.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority of3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of	documents have been received. documents have been received in A of the priority documents have beer hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stag	je				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P' 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/032,287

Art Unit: 2871

500

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, First Embodiment, Figures 1 and 2, drawn to a substrate for a liquid crystal display device and associated method, wherein a reflecting pattern is formed to be a regularly arranged plurality of convexities on the surface of a pixel electrode and the spatial shape of the plurality of convexities along the X axis is different from the spatial shape along the Y-axis, such that the quantity of light reflected to a particular viewing direction can be suppressed to a low level, and the quantity of light reflected to another fixed viewing direction can be increased.

Species B, another embodiment, Figure 8, drawn to a substrate for a liquid crystal display device and method, wherein a plurality of convexities are regularly arranged on the surface of a light reflecting film and the major axes of the convexities extend along the X axis and the direction of the line wires and their minor axes extend along the orthogonal Y axis.

Species C, another embodiment, Figure 23, drawn to a substrate for a liquid crystal display device and associated method, wherein the plurality of convexities or concavities are not regularly arranged within a plane, but rather are randomly arranged.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 10/032,287

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (571)272-2289. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (571)272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2871 Robert Kim, SPE

DUNGT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER